

**Loan File Reunderwriting Protocol Status Report**

***Via ECF (S.D.N.Y. and C.D. Cal.) and Email (D. Kan.)***

**April 3, 2015**

The Honorable Denise L. Cote  
United States District Court for the Southern District of New York  
Daniel Patrick Moynihan U.S. Courthouse  
500 Pearl Street  
New York, NY 10007

The Honorable John W. Lungstrum  
The Honorable James P. O'Hara  
United States District Court for the District of Kansas  
500 State Avenue, Suite 517  
Kansas City, KS 66101

The Honorable George H. Wu  
United States District Court for the Central District of California  
312 North Spring Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90012-4701

Re: *NCUA v. Morgan Stanley & Co.*, No. 13-6705 (S.D.N.Y.) and related actions

Dear Judges Cote, Lungstrum, Wu, and O'Hara:

Pursuant to § (g) of the Loan File Reunderwriting Protocol ("LFRP") (ECF No. 100), and the Courts' July 14, 2014 Order (ECF No. 174) and the Courts' December 12, 2014 Order (ECF No. 230),<sup>1</sup> the parties respectfully submit this status report "as to identification and production by Defendant Groups and third-parties of Loan Files and Guidelines for the Sampled Loans, and as to the status of above-described stipulations." In view of the Courts' March 10, 2015 Order (ECF No. 251) requiring a more detailed status report for Novastar and Nomura, this report has been modified to reflect the fact that NCUA has obtained a sufficient number of Sampled Loans for most of the RMBS certificates in these coordinated actions, and that NCUA does not always propose stipulations for all of the Sampled Loans it has collected. Rather, NCUA attempts to obtain a quantity of loan file and underwriting guideline stipulations sufficient to satisfy its statistical sampling methodology.

**I. Collection of Loan Files and Guidelines**

**A. Defendants' Productions of Loan Files and Guidelines.** Pursuant to §§ (b) and (c) of the LFRP, Defendants have identified the Loan Files and Guidelines for the Sampled Loans in their possession, custody, or control that they expected to produce to NCUA. Defendants in aggregate have sent approximately 200 notices to third-parties seeking consent to re-produce Loan Files and Guidelines produced by the third-parties in response to subpoenas in other RMBS actions. Defendants reasonably believe that they have produced all Loan Files and

---

<sup>1</sup> Unless otherwise noted, ECF references are to *NCUA v. Morgan Stanley & Co.*, No. 13-6705 (S.D.N.Y.).

Guidelines for the Sampled Loans in their possession, custody, or control that they expect to produce to NCUA.<sup>2</sup>

**B. NCUA's Subpoenas for Loan Files and Guidelines.** NCUA has issued over 350 subpoenas to third-parties seeking Loan Files and Guidelines for the Sampled Loans. To date, NCUA has collected loan file documents relating to approximately 25,841 of the 26,597 Sampled Loans at issue in these actions. NCUA has also collected thousands of potentially applicable underwriting guidelines. NCUA is processing, Bates stamping (if necessary), and reproducing these documents to Defendants. As of this report, NCUA has produced to Defendants all documents relating to loan files and underwriting guidelines that were produced by third-parties on or before March 27, 2015. NCUA continues to meet-and-confer with third-parties regarding outstanding loan files and underwriting guidelines.

**C. Defendants' Subpoena for Loan Files and Guidelines.** RBS has issued four subpoenas to a third-party (American Home) seeking loan files. Other Defendants have not issued subpoenas seeking loan files or underwriting guidelines.

\* \* \*

The Appendix provides the status of the parties' efforts to collect loan files on a security-by-security basis. Because the parties are attempting to determine the most appropriate Guidelines for the Sampled Loans through the stipulation process, the parties are currently unable to quantify the status of the collection of Guidelines applicable to the Sampled Loans.

## **II. Stipulations Regarding Loan Files and Guidelines**

Pursuant to §§ (e) and (f) of the LFRP, NCUA has proposed loan file and guideline stipulations to Barclays, Nomura, RBS, Credit Suisse, Goldman Sachs, UBS, Morgan Stanley, and Wachovia. The Appendix provides the status of these stipulations on a security-by-security basis. NCUA has not proposed stipulations for all of the collected Sampled Loans because it intends to re-underwrite only approximately 100 loans per security. The parties will cooperate in good faith regarding these stipulations and will raise any issues with the Court.

---

<sup>2</sup> Wachovia did not have any Loan Files for the Sampled Loans in its possession, custody, or control.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ David C. Frederick

David C. Frederick  
Wan J. Kim  
Gregory G. Rapawy  
Andrew C. Shen  
KELLOGG, HUBER, HANSEN, TODD,  
EVANS & FIGEL, P.L.L.C.  
Sumner Square  
1615 M Street, N.W., Suite 400  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
Tel: (202) 326-7900  
Fax: (202) 326-7999  
dfrederick@khhte.com  
wkim@khhte.com  
grapawy@khhte.com  
ashen@khhte.com

George A. Zelcs  
KOREIN TILLERY LLC  
205 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 1950  
Chicago, IL 60601  
Tel: (312) 641-9750  
Fax: (312) 641-9751  
gzels@koreintillery.com

Stephen M. Tillery  
Greg G. Gutzler  
Robert L. King  
KOREIN TILLERY LLC  
505 North Seventh Street, Suite 3600  
St. Louis, MO 63101  
Tel: (314) 241-4844  
Fax: (314) 241-3525  
stillery@koreintillery.com  
ggutzler@koreintillery.com  
rking@koreintillery.com

Erik Haas  
Peter W. Tomlinson  
Philip R. Forlenza  
Henry J. Ricardo  
PATTERSON BELKNAP WEBB & TYLER LLP  
1133 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036  
Tel: (212) 336-2000  
Fax: (212) 336-2222  
ehaas@pbwt.com  
pwtomlinson@pbwt.com  
prforlenza@pbwt.com  
hjr Ricardo@pbwt.com

David H. Wollmuth  
Frederick R. Kessler  
Steven S. Fitzgerald  
Ryan A. Kane  
WOLLMUTH MAHER & DEUTSCH LLP  
500 Fifth Avenue, 12th Floor  
New York, NY 10110  
Tel: (212) 382-3300  
Fax: (212) 382-0050  
dwollmuth@wmd-law.com  
fkessler@wmd-law.com  
sfitzgerald@wmd-law.com  
rkane@wmd-law.com

Norman E. Siegel (D. Kan. # 70354)  
Rachel E. Schwartz (Kan. # 21782)  
STUEVE SIEGEL HANSON LLP  
460 Nichols Road, Suite 200  
Kansas City, MO 64112  
Tel: (816) 714-7100  
Fax: (816) 714-7101  
siegel@stuevesiegel.com  
schwartz@stuevesiegel.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff National Credit Union Administration Board*

<p><u>/s/ Richard W. Clary</u>  Richard W. Clary  Julie A. North  Richard J. Stark  Michael T. Reynolds  Lauren A. Moskowitz  CRAVATH, SWAINE &amp; MOORE LLP  825 Eighth Avenue  New York, NY 10019  Tel: (212) 474-1000  Fax: (212) 474-3700  rclary@cravath.com  jnorth@cravath.com  rstark@cravath.com  mreynolds@cravath.com  lmoskowitz@cravath.com</p> <p><i>Attorneys for Defendants Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and Credit Suisse First Boston Mortgage Securities Corp.</i></p>	<p><u>/s/ James P. Rouhandeh</u>  James P. Rouhandeh  Paul S. Mishkin  Daniel J. Schwartz  Joanna Geneve-Third  DAVIS POLK &amp; WARDWELL LLP  450 Lexington Avenue  New York, NY 10017  Telephone: (212) 450-4000  Facsimile: (212) 701-5800  rouhandeh@davispolk.com  paul.mishkin@davispolk.com  daniel.schwartz@davispolk.com  joanna.geneve-third@davispolk.com</p> <p><i>Attorneys for Defendants Morgan Stanley &amp; Co., Inc. n/k/a Morgan Stanley &amp; Co. LLC, and Morgan Stanley Capital I Inc.</i></p>
<p><u>/s/ Jeffrey T. Scott</u>  David H. Braff  Jeffrey T. Scott  Joshua Fritsch  SULLIVAN &amp; CROMWELL LLP  125 Broad Street  New York, NY 10004  Tel: (212) 558-4000  Fax: (212) 558-3588  braffd@sullcrom.com  scottj@sullcrom.com  fritschj@sullcrom.com</p> <p><i>Attorneys for Defendant Barclays Capital Inc.</i></p>	<p><u>/s/ Scott D. Musoff</u>  Jay B. Kasner  Scott D. Musoff  Gary J. Hacker  SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE,  MEAGHER &amp; FLOM LLP  Four Times Square  New York, NY 10036  Tel: (212) 735-3000  Fax: (212) 735-2000  jay.kasner@skadden.com  scott.musoff@skadden.com  gary.hacker@skadden.com</p> <p><i>Attorneys for Defendant UBS Securities, LLC</i></p>

<p><u>/s/ R. Alexander Pilmer</u>  R. Alexander Pilmer, CA Bar No. 166196  David I. Horowitz, CA Bar No. 248414  KIRKLAND &amp; ELLIS LLP  333 South Hope Street  Los Angeles, CA 90071  Telephone: (213) 680-8400  Facsimile: (213) 680-8500  alexander.pilmer@kirkland.com  david.horowitz@kirkland.com</p> <p><i>Attorneys for Defendants RBS Securities Inc., f/k/a Greenwich Capital Markets, Inc. and RBS Acceptance Inc., f/k/a Greenwich Capital Acceptance Inc.</i></p>	<p><u>/s/ David H. Fry</u>  David H. Fry  Hannah Shearer  MUNGER TOLLES &amp; OLSON LLP  560 Mission Street  27th Floor  San Francisco, CA 94105  Telephone: (415) 512-4000  Facsimile: (415) 512-4077  david.fry@mto.com  hannah.shearer@mto.com</p> <p>Andrew W. Goldwater  FRIEDMAN KAPLAN SEILER  &amp; ADELMAN LLP  7 Times Square  New York, NY 10036  Telephone: (212) 833-1100  Facsimile: (212) 833-1250  agoldwater@fklaw.com</p> <p><i>Attorneys for Defendant Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC, n/k/a Wells Fargo Securities, LLC</i></p>
<p><u>/s/ Richard H. Klapper</u>  Richard H. Klapper  William B. Monahan  Peter A. Steciuk  Mark S. Geiger  SULLIVAN &amp; CROMWELL LLP  125 Broad Street  New York, NY 10004  Tel: (212) 558-4000  Fax: (212) 558-3588  klapperr@sullcrom.com  monahanw@sullcrom.com  steciukp@sullcrom.com  geigerm@sullcrom.com</p> <p><i>Attorneys for Defendants Goldman, Sachs &amp; Co. and GS Mortgage Securities Corp.</i></p>	<p><u>/s/ Barbara S. Steiner</u>  Barbara S. Steiner  Matthew J. Thomas  JENNER &amp; BLOCK LLP  353 N. Clark St.  Chicago, IL 60654  Tel: (312) 222-9350  Fax: (312) 527-0484  bsteiner@jenner.com  mthomas@jenner.com</p> <p><i>Attorneys for Nomura Home Equity Loan, Inc. and Nomura Asset Acceptance Corp.</i></p>

/s/ William F. Alderman

William F. Alderman  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE  
LLP

The Orrick Building  
405 Howard Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105-2669  
Tel: (415) 773-5700  
Fax: (415) 773-5759  
walderman@orrick.com

*Attorney for Defendant NovaStar Mortgage  
Funding Corporation*

cc: Counsel of Record (via ECF or Email)

Re: *NCUA v. RBS & Wachovia*, Nos. 11-2340 & 11-2649  
*NCUA v. Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC*, No. 12-2648  
*NCUA v. UBS Securities, LLC*, No. 12-2591  
*NCUA v. Morgan Stanley & Co.*, No. 13-2418

Re: *NCUA v. Goldman, Sachs & Co.*, No. 11-6521  
*NCUA v. RBS Securities, LLC*, No. 11-5887

# Appendix

Barclays	BCAP 2007-AA1		BCAP 2007-AA2		BCAP 2007-AA3 (Gip. 1)		BCAP 2007-AA3 (Gip. 2)		FHLT 2006-C	SABR 2006-HE2	WFHET 2006-3	WFHET 2007-1
	Number of Sampled Loans Collected as Reported by NCUA	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	199/200 (99.5%)		
	Number of Loan File Stipulations Reached / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	58/100 (58%)	94/100 (94%)	99/100 (99%)	97/100 (97%)	97/100 (97%)	97/100 (97%)	0/200 (0%)	96/99 (97%)	97/98 (99%)		
	Number of Stipulations Reached as to Guideline and Matrix/ Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	39/100 (39%)	4/100 (4%)	64/100 (64%)	1/100 (1%)	98/100 (98%)	99/100 (99%)	0/200 (0%)	98/99 (99%)	97/98 (99%)		
	Number of Stipulations Proposed by NCUA for Which No Response Has Been Due	0	0	0	0	0	0	200	0	0		
	Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Loan File Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
	Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Guideline or Matrix Stipulation, But No Stipulation Yet Reached	61	96	32	97	0	0	0	0	0		



Credit Suisse	ARMT 2006-3	ARMT 2007-1	ARMT 2007-2	CWALT 2007-OA6	HEAT 2006-6	HEMT 2006-2	HEMT 2007-2	INDA 2006-AR2	INDX 2006-AR41	INDX 2007-FLX3	INDYL 2006-L2	LBMLT 2006-1	LBMLT 2006-6	RALI 2006-QA9	RALI 2007-QO1
Number of Sampled Loans Collected as Reported by NCUA	196/200 (98%)	193/200 (96.5%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	195/200 (97.5%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	188/200 (94%)	198/200 (99%)	198/200 (99%)
Number of Loan File Stipulations Reached / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	132/132 (100%)	120/120 (100%)	129/135 (95.6 %)	119/120 (99.2%)	115/120 (95.8%)	105/119 (88.2%)	127/130 (97.7%)	118/119 (99.2%)	120/120 (100%)	120/120 (100%)	120/120 (100%)	140/140 (100%)	128/128 (100%)	0/198 (0%)	0/198 (0%)
Number of Stipulations Reached as to Guideline and Matrix / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	123/132 (93.2%)	104/120 (86.7%)	110/135 (81.5%)	119/120 (99.2%)	105/120 (87.5%)	72/119 (60.5%)	102/130 (78.5%)	2/119 (1.7%)	3/120 (2.5%)	35/120 (29.2%)	8/120 (6.7%)	140/140 (100%)	128/128 (100%)	0/198 (0%)	0/198 (0%)
Number of Stipulations Proposed by NCUA for Which No Response Has Been Due	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	198	198
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Loan File Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Guideline or Matrix Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	1	0	12	1	1	7	2	22	7	0	19	0	0	0	0

Goldman Sachs	CWALT 2007-OA4	FFML 2006-FF4	GPMF 2006-OH1	GS AA 2007-3	GS AA 2007-5	GSR 2006-OA1	GSR 2007-OA1 (G1)	GSR 2007-OA1 (G2)	LBMLT 2006-7	RALI 2006-QO6	RALI 2006-QO10	RALI 2007-QH2	RALI 2007-QH3	RALI 2007-QH5	RALI 2007-QH6
Number of Sampled Loans Collected as Reported by NCUA	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	173/200 (86.5%)	168/200 (84%)	200/200 (100%)	191/200 (95%)	164/200 (82%)	198/200 (99%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)
Number of Loan File Stipulations Reached / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	127/140 (90.7%)	153/200 (76.5%)	120/120 (100%)	0/126 (0%)	0/100 (0%)	76/137 (55.5%)	44/98 (44.9%)	15/100 (15%)	117/139 (84.2%)	165/200 (82.5%)	159/200 (79.5%)	154/200 (77%)	171/200 (85.5%)	193/200 (96.5%)	191/200 (95.5%)
Number of Stipulations Reached as to Guideline and Matrix/ Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	107/140 (76.4%)	199/200 (99.5%)	105/120 (87.5%)	0/ 126 (0%)	0/100 (0%)	57/137 (41.6%)	29/98 (29.6%)	22/100 (22%)	138/139 (99.3%)	176/200 (88%)	171/200 (85.5%)	167/200 (83.5%)	186/200 (93%)	107/200 (53.5%)	199/200 (99.5%)
Number of Stipulations Proposed by NCUA for Which No Response Has Been Due	0	0	0	126	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Loan File Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	0	0	0	0	0	5	31	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Guideline or Matrix Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	33	0	3	0	0	56	56	54	1	2	0	0	0	92	0

Morgan Stanley (part 1)	MSAC 2006-HE2 (All)	MSAC 2006-HE2 (G2)	MSAC 2006-HE4	MSAC 2006-HE6	MSAC 2006-HE8	MSAC 2006-NC4	MSAC 2006-WMC2	MSAC 2007-HE4	MSAC 2007-HE5	MSHEL 2006-1	MSHEL 2007-2	MSIX 2006-1	MSM 2005-11AR	
	Number of Sampled Loans Collected as Reported by NCUA	192/200 (96%)	183/200 (91.5%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	139/200 (69.5 %)	199/200 (99.5%)	193/200 (96.5%)	194/200 (97%)	
	Number of Loan File Stipulations Reached / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	x	x	x	0/120 (0%)	134/134 (100%)	0/120 (0%)	199/200 (99.5%)	134/134 (100%)	133/133 (100%)	x	27/98 (27.6%)	x	0/119 (0%)
	Number of Stipulations Reached as to Guideline and Matrix / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	x	x	x	0/120 (0%)	55/134 (41% )	0/120 (0%)	195/200 (97.5%)	114/134 ( 85%)	131/133 (98.4%)	x	55/98 (56.1%)	x	0/119 (0%)
	Number of Stipulations Proposed by NCUA for Which No Response Has Been Due	x	x	x	120	0	120	0	0	0	x	0	x	119
	Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Loan File Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	x	x	x	0	0	0	1	0	0	x	71	x	0
	Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Guideline or Matrix Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	x	x	x	0	79	0	5	20	2	x	43	x	0

Morgan Stanley (part 2)	MSM 2006-3AR	MSM 2006-8AR	MSM 2006-9AR	MSM 2006-10SL	MSM 2006-13ARX	MSM 2006-16AX	MSM 2007-2AX	MSM 2007-4SL	MSM 2007-5AX	MSM 2007-11AR	NTIX 2007-HE2	RALI 2006-QA5	SAST 2007-2
Number of Sampled Loans Collected as Reported by NCUA	200/200 (100%)	192/200 (96%)	199/200 (99.5%)	200/200 (100%)	199/200 (99.5%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	110/200 (55%)	196/200 (98%)	200/200 (100%)
Number of Loan File Stipulations Reached / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	51/131 (38.9%)	x	0/120 (0%)	0/122 (0%)	x	x	0/120 (0%)	116/116 (100%)	0/120 (0%)	0/120 (0%)	x	x	x
Number of Stipulations Reached as to Guideline and Matrix / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	75/131 (57.2%)	x	0/120 (0%)	0/122 (0%)	x	x	0/120 (0%)	92/116 ( 79.3%)	0/120 (0%)	0/120 (0%)	x	x	x
Number of Stipulations Proposed by NCUA for Which No Response Has Been Due	0	x	120	122	x	x	120	0	120	120	x	x	x
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Loan File Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	80	x	0	0	x	x	0	0	0	0	x	x	x
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Guideline or Matrix Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	56	x	0	0	x	x	0	22	0	0	x	x	x

Nomura	NAA 2006-AR4	
	NHELI 2007-1 (Group 1)	
Number of Sampled Loans Collected as Reported by NCUA	195/200 (97.5%)	200/200 (100%)
Number of Loan File Stipulations Reached / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	85/96 (88.5%)	82/98 (83.7%)
Number of Stipulations Reached as to Guideline and Matrix / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	11/96 (11.5%)	29/98 (29.6%)
Number of Stipulations Proposed by NCUA for Which No Response Has Been Due	0	0
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Loan File Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	0	0
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Guideline or Matrix Stipulation, But No Stipulation Yet Reached	70	47

Novastar	NHIEL 2006-5 (All)		
	NHIEL 2006-5 (GRP 2)		
	Number of Sampled Loans Collected as Reported by NCUA	145/200 (72.5%)	137/200 (68.5%)
	Number of Loan File Stipulations Reached / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	0/95 (0%)	0/95 (0%)
	Number of Stipulations Reached as to Guideline and Matrix/ Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	0/95 (0%)	0/95 (0%)
	Number of Stipulations Proposed by NCUA for Which No Response Has Been Due	95	95
	Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Loan File Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	0	0
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Guideline or Matrix Stipulation, But No Stipulation Yet Reached	0	0	

<b>RBS (part 1)</b>	<b>AHMA 2007-3</b>	<b>FFML 2005-FFH4</b>	<b>FFML 2006-FF16 (ALL)</b>	<b>FFML 2006-FF16 (GRP 2)</b>	<b>FHLT 2006-3</b>	<b>FHLT 2006-D (ALL)</b>	<b>FHLT 2006-D (GRP 2)</b>	<b>GMACM 2006-HE5</b>	<b>HVMLT 2006-10</b>	<b>HVMLT 2006-11</b>	<b>HVMLT 2006-12</b>	<b>HVMLT 2006-14</b>	<b>HVMLT 2006-6 (GRP 2)</b>	<b>HVMLT 2006-6 (GRP 3)</b>
Number of Sampled Loans Collected as Reported by NCUA	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	93/200 (46.5%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	199/200 (99.5%)	199/200 (99.5%)	197/200 (98.5%)
Number of Loan File Stipulations Reached / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	0/195 (0%)	98/100 (98%)	100/100 (100%)	100/101( 99%)	97/100 (97%)	108/110 (98.2%)	116/119 (97.5%)	x	x	100/100 (100%)	92/100 (92%)	x	0/95 (0%)	0/95 (0%)
Number of Stipulations Reached as to Guideline and Matrix/ Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	180/195 (92.3%)	100/100 (100%)	98/100 (98%)	98/101 (97%)	60/100 (60%)	85/110 (77.3%)	89/119 (74.8%)	x	x	0/100 (0%)	0/100 (0%)	x	0/95 (0%)	0/95 (0%)
Number of Stipulations Proposed by NCUA for Which No Response Has Been Due	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x	x	0	0	x	95	95
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Loan File Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	0	0	0	0	3	2	2	x	x	0	7	x	0	0
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Guideline or Matrix Stipulation, But No Stipulation Yet Reached	7	0	2	3	40	25	29	x	x	100	100	x	0	0

<b>RBS (part 2)</b>	HVMLT 2006-8	HVMLT 2006-9	HVMLT 2006-SB1	HVMLT 2007-1 (ALL)	HVMLT 2007-1 (GRP 2)	HVMLT 2007-2	HVMLT 2007-3	HVMLT 2007-4	HVMLT 2007-5	INDX 2006-AR35	INDX 2006-AR6	LBMLT 2006-2	LBMLT 2006-8	LUM 2006-2	LUM 2007-1 (Gp 1)
Number of Sampled Loans Collected as Reported by NCUA	197/200 (98.5%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	186/200 (93.0%)	200/200 (100%)	196/200 (98.0%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	196/200 (98.0%)	187/200 (93.5%)	173/200 (86.5%)
Number of Loan File Stipulations Reached / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	x	92/100 (92%)	97/100 (97%)	92/102 (90.2%)	81/103 (78.6%)	x	x	x	5/100 (5%)	96/97 (99%)	0/100 (0%)	91/99 (91.9%)	0/96 (0%)	0/94 (0%)	0/95 (0%)
Number of Stipulations Reached as to Guideline and Matrix/ Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	x	0/100 (0%)	100/100 (100%)	0/102 (0%)	0/103 (0%)	x	x	x	70/100 (70%)	91/97 (93.8%)	0/100 (0%)	0/99 (0%)	0/96 (0%)	0/94 (0%)	0/95 (0%)
Number of Stipulations Proposed by NCUA for Which No Response Has Been Due	x	0	0	0	0	x	x	x	0	0	100	0	96	94	95
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Loan File Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	x	8	0	0	0	x	x	x	1	1	0	8	0	0	0
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Guideline or Matrix Stipulation, But No Stipulation Yet Reached	x	100	0	102	103	x	x	x	30	0	0	99	0	0	0



RBS (part 3)	MHL 2006-1	MHL 2006-1 (Grp 1-A2)	NAA 2006-AR4	NHEL 2006-5 (All)	NHEL 2006-5 (GRP 2)	NHELI2007-1 (Group 1)	NHELI2007-1 (Group 2)	OOMLT 2007-2	RFMS2 2007-HSA2	SAST 2006-3	SVHE 2005-OPT4	SVHE 2006-WF1	SVHE 2006-WF2	WMLT 2006-ALT1		
	Number of Sampled Loans Collected as Reported by NCUA	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	195/200 (97.5%)	145/200 (72.5%)	137/200 (68.5%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	197/200 (98.5%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	
	Number of Loan File Stipulations Reached / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	193/196 (98.5%)	88/100 (88%)	85/96 (88.5%)	0/95 (0%)	0/95 (0%)	82/98 (83.7%)	115/115 (100%)	100/101 (99%)	98/100 (98%)	63/105 (60%)	98/101 (97%)	91/99 (91.9%)	94/100 (94%)	96/100 (96%)	0/100 (0%)
	Number of Stipulations Reached as to Guideline and Matrix/ Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	193/196 (98.5%)	59/100 (59%)	11/96 (11.5%)	0/95 (0%)	0/95 (0%)	29/98 (29.6%)	0/115 (0%)	94/101 (93.1%)	75/100 (75%)	56/105 (53.3%)	1/101 (0.01%)	0/99 (0%)	0/100 (0%)	85/100 (85%)	0/100 (0%)
	Number of Stipulations Proposed by NCUA for Which No Response Has Been Due	0	0	0	95	95	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100
	Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Loan File Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	2	8	4	1	0
	Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Guideline or Matrix Stipulation, But No Stipulation Yet Reached	0	41	70	0	0	47	52	2	23	29	57	99	91	12	0

<b>UBS (part 1)</b>		ARSI 2006-W3	CWALT 2006-OA3	CWALT 2006-OA8	CWALT 2006-OA8 (G1)	CWALT 2006-OA10 (G2)	CWALT 2006-OA10 (G3)	CWALT 2006-OA10 (G4)	CWHL 2006-OA5 (G1)	CWHL 2006-OA5 (G2)	FHLT 2006-B	INABS 2007-A	INDS 2006-3
Number of Sampled Loans Collected as Reported by NCUA	168/200 (84%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	
Number of Loan File Stipulations Reached / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	95/95 (100%)	0/139 (100%)	140/140 (100%)	120/120 (100%)	120/120 (100%)	120/120 (100%)	120/120 (100%)	137/138 (99.2%)	136/138 (98.5%)	140/140 (100%)	120/120 (100%)	133/134 (99.2%)	
Number of Stipulations Reached as to Guideline and Matrix / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	95/95 (100%)	0/139 (100%)	125/140 (89.2%)	113/120 (94.1%)	107/120 (89.1%)	101/120 (84.1%)	117/120 (97.5%)	120/138 (86.9%)	125/138 (90.5%)	110/140 (78.5%)	6/118 (.05%)	0/134 (0%)	
Number of Stipulations Proposed by NCUA for Which No Response Has Been Due	0	139	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Loan File Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Guideline or Matrix Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	0	0	15	7	13	18	2	18	12	0	0	109	

<b>UBS (part 2)</b>	<b>INDS 2007-1</b>	<b>INDS 2007-2</b>	<b>MABS 2006-HE2</b>	<b>MABS 2006-WMC1</b>	<b>MABS 2006-WMC4</b>	<b>MARM 2007-2</b>	<b>MARM 2007-HF2</b>	<b>MASL 2006-1</b>	<b>RALI 2006-QQ5 (G2)</b>	<b>RALI 2006-QQ5 (G3)</b>	<b>RALI 2006-QQ7</b>
Number of Sampled Loans Collected as Reported by NCUA	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	192/200 (96%)	199/200 (99.5%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)	200/200 (100%)
Number of Loan File Stipulations Reached / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	120/120 (100%)	120/120 (100%)	0/128 (0.0%)	140/140 (100%)	140/140 (100%)	119/119 (100%)	117/117 (100%)	0/117 (0.0%)	0/200 (0%)	0/200 (0%)	0/200 (0%)
Number of Stipulations Reached as to Guideline and Matrix / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	51/120 (42.5%)	27/120 (22.5%)	0/128 (0.0%)	138/140 (98.6%)	140/140 (100%)	113/119 (95.0%)	89/117 (76.0%)	0/117 (0.0%)	0/200 (0%)	0/200 (0%)	0/200 (0%)
Number of Stipulations Proposed by NCUA for Which No Response Has Been Due	0	0	128	0	0	0	0	117	200	200	200
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Loan File Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Guideline or Matrix Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	0	0	0	0	0	3	28	0	0	0	0

Wachovia	NHFL 2006-5 (All)			
	NHFL 2006-5 (GRP 1)		NHFL 2006-5 (GRP 2)	
	WMLT 2006-AL T1		WMLT 2006-AMN1	
Number of Sampled Loans Collected as Reported by NCUA	145/200 (72.5%)	137/200 (68.5%)	200/200 (100%)	195/200 (97.5%)
Number of Loan File Stipulations Reached / Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	0/95 (0%)	0/95 (0%)	0/100 (0%)	97/97 (100%)
Number of Stipulations Reached as to Guideline and Matrix/ Stipulations Proposed by NCUA	0/95 (0%)	0/95 (0%)	0/100 (0%)	0/97 (0%)
Number of Stipulations Proposed by NCUA for Which No Response Has Been Due	95	95	100	0
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Loan File Stipulation But No Stipulation Yet Reached	0	0	0	0
Number of Sampled Loans for Which Defendant Counter-Proposed a Guideline or Matrix Stipulation, But No Stipulation Yet Reached	0	0	0	97